1		
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
3	DISTRICT OF INLANDIN	
4	Mark A. Murphy, et al.,	Case No.: 2:22-cv-01549-CDS-EJY
5	Plaintiffs	Order Granting Motion to Remand
6	V.	[ECF No. 2]
7	Matthew Beasley, et al.,	[EGI 110. 2]
8	Defendants	
9		
10	This case is related to the SEC action filed separately in this court. The receiver in this	
11	case filed a motion on November 18, 2022, under 28 U.S.C. \$ 1452(b) seeking to remand this	
12	matter to back to state court. ECF No. 2. That motion includes notice of a hearing on the motion	
13	on December 16, 2022. <i>Id.</i> at 2. The hearing was held, and no one appeared to oppose or object to	
14	the motion. ECF Nos. 8, 9.	
15	Accordingly, having considered the motion, and there being no opposition or objection	
16	thereto, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion to remand [ECF No. 2] is GRANTED.	
17	The Clerk of Court is directed to REMAND this case to the Eighth Judicial District	
18	Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. A-22-849806-B, Department 22, and CLOSE THIS	
19	CASE.	
20	DATED: January 20, 2023	
21		Cristina/D. Silva
22		United States District Judge
23		
24		
25		
26	<sup>1</sup> See generally 2:22-cv-612-CDS-EJY, SEC v. Matthew Bea	islev et al
	See generally 2.22-CV-012-CD3-LJ 1, SLC V. Walthew Deastey, et al.	